

Administrative and Finance
October 16, 2008, 9:00 a.m.

Called to order by Chairman West at 9:00 a.m.

Present Kirsenlohr, Klingforth, Ward, Sebastiani. Also present James, Sumpter, McGhee, Wendy P, Townsend, Dehmlow.

Motioned by Klingforth/Sebastiani to approve the agenda. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

MIS – McGhee submitted a financial report, explained the user account was going to be short, there will be change in health insurance a single plan will be going to a family plan for the last 6 months of the year.

The committee discussed county board supervisors going to conferences. The board may want to set a policy indicated the number of attendees, they then would need to report back and let the rest of the supervisors know what they learned or discovered. Ward did not feel all attendees should need to provide a report, one would be sufficient. The supervisors should rotate as to who should be in attendance.

Sumpter agrees with Ward supervisors should rotate. Kirsenlohr said they could draw straws. James concurs and believes the supervisors need to set examples for the departments and the county as a whole. Sumpter talked about the social workers at Health and Human Services the fact of the matter is they have 2 years to acquire their certificates, they could be rotating 4 attendees each year instead of sending all 8 each year. Ward and Kirsenlohr both agree. West thought there should be a written report not a verbal one. Sebastiani indicated he attended a Planning and Development and Public Safety educational meeting where he just took the information back to the department it pertained to. James thinks the supervisors need to take a stand on this issue. Petkovsek said she was proud of the supervisors they were not in the general assembly hall, but were in fact in the training session at the last conference. Ward feels this is something we can work on next year and come up with a policy. Petkovsek stated the supervisors should be the ones to come up with the policy. Sebastiani thinks this should be placed on the next Executive Committee agenda for discussion. James and Sumpter agreed. Kirsenhlor feels supervisors will have a problem with this sort of policy. Especially with writing a report.

Discussion took place on the 2009 budget. Health and Human services received information that they won't get the ADRC grant in 2009. Their budget will need to be readjusted to reflect this. We need to determine which CMO Care Management Organization we will join with. Richland, Sauk, Columbia, Juneau are all public. Marathon, Wood, Portage, and Lacrosse are as well. Waushara is a not for profit organization. Petkovsek got Mary Fahrety on the speaker phone. She explained the levy impact, how they would make adjustments so it would be less. The ADCR will be located in the Health and Human Services building and Carol Johnson will run it. Mary felt the county may get money in the middle of 2010 when the state finalized their budget. Wendy address her concerns regarding the transportation program. Carol Johnson wages, 1 ½ intake position wages, 1 long term care positions wages, 50% of the elder ben spec wages, some administrative costs and some of Wendy's wages needed to be reallocated after finding out the grant would not be obtained. Sebastiani said we need to be first on this list in 2010. Mary stated the last two state budgets were not settled until November. If awarded the grant this will be a process of different phases, for example the ADRC will be the first phase, then the MCO, then Long Term Care will roll over along with the associated expense and will no long be in Heath Human services budget. There will be no

waiting list as there is now. Once it leaves the counties budget and becomes part of the MCO budget the county will not be responsible or liable. Ward wanted to know if this would abolish Long Term Care. Mary said yes basically, and what would be left would be minimal. Case manager, supervisor, and additional staff would be eliminated. They would most likely work under the CMO. Ward wanted to know if all the state and federal money would go to the CMO and if this will affect the transportation program? Mary indicated this would be a partnership. Mary said yes some of the MA transports will go and some would stay with the county. Kirsenlohr wants to know if the county doesn't be part of a partnership would money not be available? Mary said it depends on how it is set up. We need to be careful who we set up with. We will not loose any services in fact we will gain them. There will also be more nurses, more personal care providers, and there will not be a waiting list. We can serve people cheaper by going with a MCO. Medicaid dollars are federal and state not county. We will save on institutional care, the MCO has a department just for networking to assure quality of services in all areas, this make clients healthier, happier and able to stay longer. Kirsenlohr questioned if this doesn't work can we change? Mary said no, once the county lets go of the program they are done with it. They have a board 1 person from each county board sets on the board, they have a very specific make up. Ward wanted to know if Medicaid was cut 50% what would happen to the clients. Mary said you'd loose 1/2 of your long term support dollars, just like the county would if they were still in charge. The affect would be to cut services to the bare bones which would increase institutional care. Ward questioned will it affect employment for the special needs? Special needs waiting for employment would be taken care of within 2 years. You could take the number we are serving now and multiply it by three. Ward would like to see us partner up with someone of our own size, with the same needs or maybe a smaller pilot county. Mary said they were looking at the Richland area. Mary said they would close off an entrance move some individuals around, consolidating support staff in one area, to be more organized. They are looking at the south entrance. They are still working on the final budget adjustments that will be needed. Carol Johnson will spend 31% of her time supervising this, from the aging department, the elder and disable ben spec will be moved together. McGhee indicated that just in listening this will affect 21 employees. If you are doing this why wouldn't you have one single point of intake, instead of 2, this is a duplication of service provided by different people.

McGhee said there were a number of fiber runs to the buildings. She also spoke of the old library and highways shop, still having some. That this will cost as much to remove as it did to have it run and would like dollars from the proceeds of the sale of the two buildings to help pay for the costs if the county decides to remove them. Kirsenlohr questioned who is the company that put this up? McGhee said they were out of business.

The pay phone by the court area was discussed next. The pay phone is gone, the long distance if off.

Discussion regarding the 2009 budget took place. Land Conservation has a party interested in the Waste Storage money. Sumpter stated a land owner requested money. Sebastiani wanted to know the percentage of the total project that was requested. Sumpter indicated the committee said 90% not to exceed \$90,000. The project is \$200,000, James read resolution 76-99 where it states 75%. Dehmlow said the county gave 90% before and that they did not know the history. Kirsenlohr said there are other funds, other grants, that need to be looked at first, if no funds are available then this would go into place. Discussion took place on the Edgewood Farms, the use of other grant dollars that made up the difference. Dehmlow spoke of Grabarski Farm. Murphy was placed on speaker phone several questions were asked about the resolution, how it was handled previously and the dollars allocated.

- NCRS offered 75% cost share for an overall system
- We paid the initial stage of a project
- NRCS locked in project one year, worked in a 2nd year with a not to exceed limit

Previous bills were reviewed that had dollar amounts of \$33,000, \$7,500, \$7,500 on them.

Kirsenlohr said the county did not pay the total 90% this may have been the overall money receive of the individual from different sources but not entirely from the county. NRCS may have money for this new request, this should be looked into. Ward would like to know if NCRS and SWRM are the same or different programs. They are different. Murphy said dollars in SWRM are already allocated year marked.

Albert present to review the resolution he said it wasn't written appropriately, beginning balance each year should be \$100,000. Ward questioned could we change the resolution? Albert, yes. Kirsenlohr asked why couldn't a grant be utilized first then this fund. Albert yes, still this resolution is less than perfect. Kirsenlohr asked when could someone get 90% or more? If they are in an economic hardship law requires the 90%. West we should not be putting all the money back in at one time.

James and Sumpter referred to account 100 44 56185 and \$51,620.34 the committee approved money for use on dams we would like to see it transferred to the dam maintenance account.

Motioned by Sebastiani/Klingforth to allow the transfer. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Renner, July present.

Ward asked questions about the check register related to car damages, capital purchases, simulation equipment, mag holder. If equipment disappears, is lost, stolen whatever, in the future she would like to know which officer, what squad, and the details for replacement of items.

Motioned by Sebastiani/Klingforth to recess at 12:00 p.m. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Called back to order at 2:30 p.m. all members present.

More questions were asked on the check register.

Discussion on funding the waste management account took place. Motioned by Ward/Klingforth to approve \$30,000 in 56195. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Increase account 100 02 49300 by \$12,000

Increase 100 02 49300 \$83,806

Set next meeting date for November 14th instead of the 13th at 1 p.m. This will be a regular meeting.

The committee is not ready to discuss delinquent garbage pick up billing. There needs to be a policy written. There currently is \$45,000 in the technology budget for Solid Waste.

The decision to fund the health reimbursement account at 50% was discussed. The new premiums should be \$570.13 for single, \$1,491.60 for family the retirees has not been determined. Petkovsek will get the figures to Bev.

Motioned by Ward/Klingforth to approve the new rates. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Items 15, 17, and 16 will be on the next agenda.

Motioned by Ward/Klingforth to adjourn at 4:20 p.m. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Respectfully submitted,

Cindy Phillippi
Recording Secretary